

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,699	06/29/2001	Kenneth R. Rosensteel JR.	52003207	9084
7	590 09/22/2004		EXAMINER	
DR. RUSSELL W. GUENTHNER			GOLD, AVI M	
	ORMATION SYSTEM CK CANYON HWY. B		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHOENIX, A			2157	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(y /
	09/896,699	ROSENSTEEL ET AL	- of
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Avi Gold	2157	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	,		ess
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the field will apply and will expire SIX (6) Module cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	9 June 2001.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			erits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte</i> -Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C	.D11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) = a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			1 121(d)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			
	ZAMMON. NOTO THE GREEN		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have be reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National S	tage
Attachment(s)	∧ []	Summany (PTO 413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper I	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO	152)
LS. Palent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 2157

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is responsive to the application filed June 29, 2001. Claims 1-22 are pending. Claims 1-22 represent method and data processing system providing data conversion across multiple heterogeneous computer systems.

## Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: status of related applications needs to be updated. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-13, 15, 16, 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lam et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,926,636.

Art Unit: 2157

Lam teaches the invention as claimed including methods for managing components in a heterogeneous computer system network (see abstract).

Regarding claim 1, Lam teaches a method of translating blocked data transferred from a program executing on one of a plurality of computer systems to another of the plurality of computer systems, wherein:

the plurality of computer systems comprises:

a first computer system containing a first program communicating through an API with a first interface system (col. 5, lines 3-11, Lam discloses a first computer using API with a RPC module), and

a second computer system containing a second interface system for communicating with the first interface system (col. 5, lines 16-20, Lam discloses a second computer receiving a message buffer);

the first computer system and the second computer system are heterogeneous computer systems coupled together over a communications link (col. 5, lines 7-11, Lam discloses a heterogeneous computer network);

said method comprising:

- A) opening a first session from the first program via the API through the first interface system to the second interface system (col. 5, lines 3-11, lines 16-20);
- B) specifying a first translation for records transmitted over the first session (col. 5, lines 35-42, Lam discloses that a message is converted);

Art Unit: 2157

C) blocking a first plurality of records into a first block of records (col. 6, lines 1-4, Lam discloses packed messages);

D) transmitting the first block of records over the first session from a first one of the plurality of computer systems to a second one of the plurality of computer systems (col. 6, lines 1-4, Lam discloses transferring from a first network stack to a second network stack);

E) unblocking the first block of records into the first plurality of records on the second one of the plurality of computer systems (col. 6, lines 6-8, Lam discloses packed messages converted back to the message); and

F) translating each of the first plurality of records in accordance with the translation specified in step (B) (col. 5, lines 35-42).

Regarding claim 2, Lam teaches the method in claim 1 wherein:

the translating in step (F) is performed in the first interface system (col. 5, lines 35-42).

Regarding claim 3, Lam teaches the method in claim 1 wherein:

the translating in step (F) is performed in the second interface system (col. 6, lines 12-19, Lam discloses converting done on a server component management application programming interface).

Regarding claim 5, Lam teaches the method in claim 1 wherein:

Art Unit: 2157

each of the first plurality of records comprises a plurality of fields; one of the plurality of fields is an integer field; and the translating in step (F) for each of the first plurality of records comprises:

1) translating an integer in the one of the plurality of fields from a first integer format to a second integer format (col. 12, lines 44-49, Lam discloses a server converting a message from Little-Endian format to Big-Endian format).

Regarding claim 6, Lam teaches the method in claim 5 wherein:

the translating in substep (1) of step (F) includes changing from a first endian format to a second endian format (col. 12, lines 44-49).

Regarding claim 8, Lam teaches the method in claim 1 wherein:

the specifying in step (B) utilizes a file containing a record description (col. 5, lines 35-42).

Regarding claim 9, Lam teaches the method in claim 1 wherein:

the specifying in step (B) utilizes a memory area containing a record description (col. 5, lines 47-53, Lam discloses a message in buffer memory).

Claims 10-13, 15, 16, and 18-22 do not teach or define any new limitations above claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8, and 9 and therefore are rejected for similar reasons.

Art Unit: 2157

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary-skill-in-the art-to-which-said subject matter-pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4, 7, 14, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lam further in view of Allen, U.S. Patent No. 6,658,625.

Lam teaches the invention substantially as claimed including methods for managing components in a heterogeneous computer system network (see abstract).

As to claims 4 and 7, Lam teaches the method of claim 1.

Lam fails to teach the limitation further including translating a first character format to a second character format and translating a first floating point format to a second floating point format.

However, Allen teaches a generic data converter that uses a data description to convert data (see abstract). Allen teaches the use of a floating point converted to another floating point (col. 14, lines 6-8) and the use of converting character sets (col. 16, lines 49-52).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Lam in view of Allen to translate a first character format to a second character format and translate a first floating point format to a second floating point

Art Unit: 2157

format. One would be motivated to do so because it would allow for translation of different types of data.

Claims 14 and 17 do not teach or define any new limitations above claims 4 and 7 and therefore are rejected for similar reasons.

### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 6,571,282 to Bowman-Amuah.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 6,233,619 to Narisi et al.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 5,596,579 to Yasrebi.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 5,590,281 to Stevens.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 6,496,871 to Jagannathan et al.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 6,041,344 to Bodamer et al.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 5,983,265 to Martino, II.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 6,308,178 to Chang et al.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 5,339,434 to Rusis.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Avi Gold whose telephone number is 703-305-8762. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:30 (1st Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 703-308-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Avi Gold

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2157

**AMG** 

ARIO ETIENNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT DAMANT
TECHNOLOGY CENT